ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

M08817 Client ID: Date Received: 05/10/12 Date Extracted: 05/11/12 Date Analyzed: 05/11/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M08817, F&BI 205149

205149-01 x10 205149-01 x10.040

ICPMS1 Instrument:

Operator: AP

Internal Standard: % Recovery: Germanium 83

Lower Limit: 60

UpperLimit: 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 414 Nickel 436 Copper 599 Zinc 34.8

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank
Date Received: Not Applicable
Date Extracted: 05/11/12
Date Analyzed: 05/11/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project:
Lab ID:
Data File:
Instrument:
Operator:

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor M08817, F&BI 205149

I2-304 mb I2-304 mb.030 ICPMS1 AP

Lower Limit:

Upper

Internal Standard: Germanium % Recovery:

Limit: 60 Limit:

 $\begin{array}{cc} & & Concentration \\ Analyte: & ug/L \ (ppb) \end{array}$

 Chromium
 <1</td>

 Nickel
 <1</td>

 Copper
 <1</td>

 Zinc
 <1</td>

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 05/16/12 Date Received: 05/10/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M08817, F&BI 205149

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 205102-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	99	102	71-130	3
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	1.06	101	103	71-120	2
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	261	100 b	127 b	52-134	24 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	114	98 b	110 b	51-142	12 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent											
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	80-119								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	83-119								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	106	81-120								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	104	82-120								

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

		•		ينو						343	: • ·				,			
	Are Cand the same	Sametic, WA 981/9-8889	Sept 100 Annual Park									•		+1880W			628 S. 644 Dad SV- SCATTLE WA 78/8/	205149 GEBAD
	E										50			01	B		Elm Dad	
				2.17.4										Shofiz	De	\prod_{i}	4. 98 4. 98 6-382-	Hompan
		6												12:50	7		1 1	cs
	H		G	$\left\{ \right\}$		•		5				'		HO	Type of the S		REMARKS	PALE CIL
		700	Jeren		1		2.0		-			 					2	SATISTICATION OF CUSTON SATISTICS PROJECT HAMENO.
		V0	1 Hopes												THE Continue	#		SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUPTODY SAMPLE CHAIN OF CUPTODY PROJECT NAMEAO.
			Σ.	ŀ	÷				E			£	1		WOOD by SEA			
Sample		F+82	1							+	+		-	P	Ce Cu No Ze			**************************************
Samples received at		7.8	d				-	‡	1	+	+	+	+	+		100	000	80. P
19	+	1	Stole	1			F	F	+	T	Ŧ	Ŧ	-	+		7		
		13-40	1:4/m												F		Marcaut.	172

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

May 16, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on May 10, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M08817, F&BI 205149 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0516R.DOC